PROB 12C (7/93)

United States District Court

Report Date: January 3, 2011

FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

for the

JAN 06 2011

Eastern District of Washington

JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK
DEPUT
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision

Name of Offender: Ganyn Matthews

Case Number: 2:07CR00070-001

Address of Offender:

Name of Sentencing Judicial Officer: The Honorable Justin L. Quackenbush, Senior U.S. District Judge

Date of Original Sentence: 10/16/2007

Original Offense:

Distribution of a Mixture or Substance Containing a Detectable Amount of

Methamphetamine, 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)

Original Sentence:

Prison - 33 Months;

Type of Supervision: Supervised Release

TSR - 120 Months

Asst. U.S. Attorney:

George J. C. Jacobs, III

Date Supervision Commenced: 10/30/2009

Defense Attorney:

Kimberly A. Deater

Date Supervision Expires: 10/29/2019

PETITIONING THE COURT

To issue a summons.

The probation officer believes that the offender has violated the following conditions of supervision:

Violation Number Nature of Noncompliance

Standard Condition # 2: The defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month.

<u>Supporting Evidence</u>: Mr. Matthews is considered in violation of his conditions of supervised release by failing to submit a written report within the first five days of December 2010.

2 <u>Standard Condition # 3</u>: The defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer.

<u>Supporting Evidence</u>: Mr. Matthews is considered in violation of his conditions of supervised release by failing to follow the instructions of the probation officer.

On December 22, 2010, Mr. Matthews reported to the probation office and was directed to submit a urinalysis sample by the undersigned. Mr. Matthews stated he had a "medical condition" and had been unable to urinate properly for "a few days." He was asked for documentation to support this condition and he stated he did not have any. After

Prob12C

Re: Matthews, Ganyn

January 3, 2011

Page 2

approximately an hour, Mr. Matthews was unable to provide a sample and was directed to return to the probation office the following day.

On December 23, 2010, Mr. Matthews failed to report as directed. Several attempts to contact Mr. Matthews at home by telephone were unsuccessful.

3 <u>Standard Condition # 5</u>: The defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons.

<u>Supporting Evidence</u>: Mr. Matthews is considered in violation of his conditions of supervised release by failing to work regularly at a lawful occupation since July 2010.

Mr. Matthews was scheduled to enroll in school in July 2010; however, his financial aid amount was not sufficient. Since that time Mr. Matthews has been unemployed and his job search activities are in question.

4 <u>Standard Condition # 11</u>: The defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventytwo hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer.

<u>Supporting Evidence</u>: Mr. Matthews is considered in violation of his conditions of supervised release by failing to notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being questioned by a law enforcement officer.

A criminal records check was conducted on Mr. Matthews and it was discovered he received a criminal citation for driving while license suspended on December 9, 2010.

Mr. Matthews failed to notify the undersigned of this contact with law enforcement.

The U.S. Probation Office respectfully recommends the Court issue a summons requiring the defendant to appear to answer to the allegations contained in this petition.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: 01/03/2011

s/Samuel Najera

Samuel Najera

U.S. Probation Officer

Prob1	2C
n	Ma

Re: Matthews, Ganyn January 3, 2011 Page 3

THE COURT ORDERS

[]	No Action
	The Issuance of a Warrant
	The Issuance of a Summons
ř٦	

Signature of Judicial Officer